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#### Abstract

It has been shown that the angular correlation range is infinite for the directed self-avoiding walk problem on the square lattice. This result implies a one-dimensional-like critical exponent, namely $\nu=1$.


The directed self-avoiding random walk problem (DSAw) on the square lattice has been recently investigated numerically by Chakrabarti and Manna (1983). In this saw model, steps in the $x$ direction are restricted to be only in the positive $x$ direction. Chakrabarti and Manna calculated numerically the average end-to-end distance $\left\langle R_{n}\right\rangle$ for walks of $n$ steps, with values of $n$ up to 14 . They found that for large $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{n}\right\rangle \simeq n^{\nu} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nu=0.86 \pm 0.02$. Redner and Majid (1983) using the transfer matrix approach, Szpilka (1983) using the method of generating functions, Cardy (1983) from a field theoretic approach and Blöte and Hilhorst (1983) using combinatorial arguments all disproved the Chakrabarti and Manna claim and proved that $\nu=1$. In addition, Redner and Majid calculated the following asymptotic expressions for the persistence length along the $x$ axis and the mean square displacement respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} n+\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}-1)  \tag{2a}\\
& \left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4} n^{2}+\frac{7}{8} \sqrt{2} n+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{17}{2} \sqrt{2}-1\right) . \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

Szpilka obtained the same results up to order $n$.
In this comment we utilise the Markovian nature of the problem to obtain closed expressions for the angular correlation functions and the radial moments that are derived from them. Let $\theta_{i j}$ denote the angle between the directions of the $i$ th and $j$ th steps. The average $\left\langle\cos \left(\theta_{i j}\right)\right\rangle_{n},(i \leqslant j)$, contains two types of contributions: (i) all walks with $i$ and $j$ parallel to the $x$ axis; and (ii) all walks with $i, j$ and $k$ pointing in the $+y$ direction for all $k$ obeying $i<k<j$ and with their reflections about the $x$ axis. The contribution of any walk with $i$ and $j$ pointing in the $+y$ direction and at least one kink at the $k$ th step $(i<k<j)$ is averaged out by the contribution of the walk obtained from it by a reflection of the $n-k$ part of the walk about the kink. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\cos \left(\theta_{i j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}=\left(1 / c_{n}\right)\left(c_{i}(x) c_{j-1}(x) c_{n-j}+2 c_{i}(y) \tilde{c}_{n-j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is the total number of DSAW with $n$ steps, $c_{n}(x)$ and $c_{n}(y)$ are the number of $n$-steps DSAW with the last step pointing in the $x$ or $+y$ direction respectively and

[^0]$\tilde{c}_{n}$ is the number of $n$-step DSAW with the first step not allowed to point in the $-y$ direction. Fisher and Sykes (1959), while deriving lower bounds for the connective constant for saw on the square lattice, calculated $c_{n}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{+}^{n+1}+\lambda_{-}^{n+1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\lambda_{ \pm}=1 \pm \sqrt{2}$.
It is easy to see that $c_{n}(x)=c_{n-1}, c_{n}(y)=c_{n}(-y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{n}-c_{n-1}\right)$ and $\tilde{c}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{n+1}-c_{n}\right)$ (it should be noted that $c_{-1}=1$ ). Equation (3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\cos \left(\theta_{t j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}= & \frac{1}{c_{n}}\left[c_{i-1} c_{--i-1} c_{n-j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{i}-c_{i-1}\right)\left(c_{n+1-j}-c_{n-j}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{4\left[1+\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{n+1}\right]}\left\{\left[1+\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{i}\right]\left[1+\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{j-i}\right]\left[1+\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{n+1-j}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+2 \lambda_{+}^{i-j}\left[1-\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{i}\right]\left[1-\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{n+1-j}\right]\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously for large $j-i$ values the first term on the RHS of equation (5) representing the type $a$ contribution is much larger than the second term representing the type $b$ contribution to the angular average. For large $n$ and $i, j$ well inside the chain, equation (5) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\cos \left(\theta_{i j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1+\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{j-i}+2 \lambda_{+}^{i^{-j}}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angular average does not decay to zero for large separations $j-i$, but converges to a constant value. This infinite range of angular correlation reflects the exclusion of reversals in the $x$ direction in the model, and implies a one-dimensional-like critical exponent $\nu=1$. The persistence length $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$ is given by the sum of the projections on the $x$ axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{c_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j-1} c_{n-j}=\frac{1}{2} n-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{n} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The even moments of the end-to-end distance distribution function are given by averages of powers of the cosines (Baram and Gelbart 1977, Baram 1982)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{n}^{2 k}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(n+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \cos \left(\theta_{i j}\right)\right)^{k}\right\rangle_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the second moment is given by
$\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle=n+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\left\langle\cos \left(\theta_{i j}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4} n^{2}+\frac{7}{8} \sqrt{2} n-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2}+1\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{n}$.
The constant terms in equations (7) and (9) disagree with the constant terms of Redner and Majid, equations ( $2 a$ ) and ( $2 b$ ). Our results for $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle$ agree with enumeration results to five decimal places by order seven and nine respectively.

The leading term in the expansion of the fourth moment is $\frac{1}{16} n^{4}$. Therefore the ratio $\left\langle R_{n}^{4}\right\rangle /\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle^{2}$ tends asymptotically to unity, indicating that the distribution function is highly concentrated at the point $x=n / 2, y=0$.
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